Static analysis error traces do not add any benefits when combined with targeted symbolic execution.
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**Motivation**

Static analysis is fast but imprecise and hence creates many false positives.

Dynamic symbolic execution is precise but often gets lost in the many program paths.

We combine two off-the-shelf static analysers with a symbolic execution engine to confirm true positives and provide developers with concrete test cases.

**Approach**

For each static analysis error report we use its trace to instrument the original program and use the instrumented locations

1. as target for symbolic execution
2. to constrain the path condition

We provide three different strictness levels to handle constraints that should hold according to the error trace:

- **Ignore** noop
- **Try** add constraint if feasible
- **Require** constraint has to hold

**Results**

Guess what? Neither the intermediate step locations nor their constraints are beneficial in most cases (GNU Coreutils fault injection study based on Clang SA and Infer traces).