

Poster: A Tight Integration of Symbolic Execution and Fuzzing

Sébastien Bardin, Yaëlle Vinçont, Michaël Marcozzi



September 15-16, 2022 London, UK

About Fuzzing

- Automatic test input generation
- <u>Black-box fuzzing</u> = random generation (usually specification-based)



2000+ bugs in GCC/LLVM 1000+ bugs in Z3/CVC4 400+ bugs in SQL DBMS

• <u>Grey-box fuzzing</u> = semi-random generation (code coverage feedback)



process timing run time : 0 days, 0 hrs, 4 mi last new path : 0 days, 0 hrs, 0 mi last uniq crash : none seen yet last uniq hang : 0 days, 0 hrs, 1 mi cycle progress	n, 26 sec total paths : 195 unig crashes : 0
nów próceśsing : 38 (19.49%) aths timed out : 0 (0.00%) Stage progress now trying : interest 32/8 tage execs : 6/9990 (0.00%) otal execs : 654k exec speed : 2306/sec	imap density : 1217 (7.43%) count coverage : 2.55 bits/tuple - findings in depth favored paths : 128 (65.64%) new edges on : 85 (43.59%) total crashes : 0 (0 unique) total hangs : 1 (1 unique)
fuzzing strategy yields bit flips : 88/14.4k, 6/14.4k, 6/14 byte flips : 0/1804, 0/1786, 1/1750 arithmetics : 31/1264, 3/45.6k, 1/17. known ints : 1/15.8k, 4/65.8k, 6/78.1 havoc : 34/254k, 0/0 trim : 2876 8/931 (61.45% gain	pending: 178 Bk pend fav: 114 2k imported: 0 variable: 0

Many CVEs and bugs in many apps: iOS, Firefox, dpkg, OpenSSH, etc.

Fuzzing vs Symbolic Execution

- Symbolic execution = <u>white-box fuzzing</u> (path constraints solving)
- Grey-box and white-box fuzzing seem <u>complementary</u>
 - <u>Grey-box fuzzing</u>: no *path explosion,* nor *complex path constraints*
 - <u>Symbolic execution</u>: easily penetrates *paths guarded by an infrequent condition*

MATRYOSHKA Eclipser

Angora

• Several tools aim at hybrid fuzzing (best of both worlds)

DRILLER Orym Pangolin

Confuzz: Goals and Principles

	Analysis of path constraints			Fuzzing		ated	S	
	Symbolic	Cheap	Targeted	Correct	Efficient	Constraints	Well-integrated	components
Fuzzing SE	- ✓	- X	- X	-	√ -	X -	-	-
Driller	 ✓ 	х	\checkmark	\checkmark	 ✓ 	х)	×
Qsym	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Х	\checkmark	X)	×
Pangolin	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	\checkmark	\checkmark	•	(
Angora	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	х	\sim	\checkmark	0	k
Matryoshka	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	X	\sim	\checkmark	0	k
Eclipser	×	\checkmark	X	Х	\checkmark	X)	×
ConFuzz	 Image: A start of the start of	~	~	~	1	~		(

- Confuzz = yet another <u>hybrid fuzzing tool</u>
- Goal: be better than the others 🙂
- Based on two main principles:
 - Rely on grey-box fuzzing to explore path space
 - For paths beyond infrequent conditions:
 - Create *easy-enumerable* version of prefix constraint
 - Use the grey-box fuzzer to *find correct solutions*

Preliminary Evaluation and Future Work

Good preliminary results vs AFL++ and KLEE, on 3 LAVA-M programs

		AFL	AFL++	KLEE	ConFuzz
base64	Avg	0	0.2	10.0	38.8
3kloc	Dev (σ)	0	0.4	1.3	0.4
44 bugs	Â ₁₂	1.0	1.0	1.0	-
md5sum	Avg	0	0	0	9
3kloc	Dev (σ)	0	0	0	1.7
57 bugs	Â ₁₂	1.0	1.0	1.0	-
uniq	Avg	0	0.4	5	26.9
3kloc	Dev (σ)	0	0.5	0	3.6
28 bugs	Â ₁₂	1.0	1.0	1.0	-

• TODO: extend constraint language, evaluate at scale vs s.o.t.a. tools