Kanika Gupta, Sangharatna Godboley

NITMINER Technologies, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Warangal, Warangal, Telangana, India, kanikagupta.gupta18@gmail.com, sanghu@nitw.ac.in





KLEE Workshop 2024

Co-Organised with 46th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE 2024) , 15-16 April, 2024, Lisbon, Portugal







Comp-AFL = Framma-C + AFL + KLEE



Introduction

- The software can only be considered safe for release and use once all bugs and vulnerabilities have been identified and eliminated.
- Fuzzing is a technique within the software testing domain that can be employed to detect vulnerabilities.
- However, fuzzing does have certain drawbacks, such as speed, coverage, and efficiency issues.
- Traditional fuzzers often struggle to efficiently identify software vulnerabilities within a given timeframe.
- In this paper, we present a comprehensive fuzzing technique designed to enhance the effectiveness of fuzzers, particularly in terms of identifying the status of targets within the software. This technique, referred to as Complete AFL (Comp-AFL), aims to detect more vulnerabilities, thus advancing the concept of complete fuzzing.

Introduction

- Comp-AFL introduces a method by which the fuzzer can identify a greater number of vulnerabilities, bringing it closer to achieving the goal of complete fuzzing.
- This approach streamlines the process by leveraging the static analysis tool Frama-C to eliminate the extra time that fuzzers typically spend exploring unreachable vulnerabilities.
- Furthermore, we enhance the efficiency using the dynamic symbolic tool KLEE, which identifies additional known targets to optimize the fuzzing process.
- Our experimental results demonstrate that the proposed Comp-AFL approach consistently outperforms both baseline AFL and AV-AFL across all 40 programs, achieving superior results in 100% of cases.
- Notably, Comp-AFL achieves a state of complete fuzzing by identifying all targets as known targets in 25% of the 40 programs.

Proposed Idea

- The framework of **Comp-AFL**, as depicted in Figure 1, integrates static analysis of the source code with a fuzzing strategy followed by dynamic symbolic execution to efficiently detect vulnerabilities.
- The process unfolds as follows:
 - The original C-Program is supplied to Frama-C, a sound static analyzer, which utilizes the EVA plug-in to extract alarm details from the program. Alarmed vulnerabilities' locations become the targets for fuzzing.
 - On-alarmed targets in the C-Program are proven as Unreachable targets.
 - O These unreachable targets are combined with the number of Known targets.



Proposed Idea



Figure 1: Framework for Comp-AFL



- The Code Refiner-I component takes the C-Program and the list of Alarms to produce a Refined C-Program.
 - This refined version focuses solely on the meaningful targets of interest for fuzzing.
 - The Refined C-Program is then fed into AFL along with random Seeds.
 - AFL generates Fuzz Statistics (fuzzer_stats, fuzz_bitmap, plot_data) and Test Inputs (crashes, hangs, queue).



Implementation Details

- Crash Triage, an AFL utility, produces a detailed log with Crash Details (CLog).
 - The Unique Target Extractor component identifies unique crashes within the Refined C-Program using the information from AFL.
 - These targets are marked as Reachable targets.
 - Additionally, the Unique Target Extractor considers the list of alarms already proven as Unreachable targets by Frama-C.
 - Both Reachable and Unreachable targets are combined and termed as Known targets (#K).
 - The number of Unknown targets (#UK) can be calculated by subtracting the number of Known targets from the total number of targets in the original program.



- To further reduce the number of unknown targets, dynamic symbolic execution is introduced.
 - As the crash details obtained from AFL already include identified crashes and their line numbers, the proposed method removes these crashes from the process and adds them to #K.
 - Code Refiner-II utilizes the crash information to eliminate these crashes from the refined code, producing refined-code-II.
 - Refined-code-II is supplied to KLEE, a dynamic symbolic execution tool.



- KLEE processes the refined C program and detects crashes within a certain execution time, resulting in #K targets and an Elapsed Time.
 - If KLEE execution completes within the TIMEOUT, all targets are considered Known (since KLEE is a sound tool), achieving complete fuzzing with zero Unknown targets.
 - However, if KLEE execution exceeds TIMEOUT, it implies the presence of Unknown targets that require further investigation to achieve complete fuzzing.
 - The TIMEOUT can be adjusted based on the time budget.
 - Absolute complete fuzzing can be achieved by running the program with an infinite time budget.



- We conducted our experiments on a Linux system running a 64-bit Ubuntu 16.04 distribution, equipped with an Intel Core i5-1135G7 CPU operating at 2.40GHz and 4.8 GB of RAM.
- Our benchmark for the experimentation consisted of 40 RERS programs, chosen to encompass a broad range of difficulty and complexity levels.
- These programs offer a representative spectrum of real-world applications, including domains such as Avionics, Banking, Medical, and Railways, among others [2,3,4,5].
- Detailed experimental data and accompanying code scripts can be found in the provided artefacts [1].



Table 1: Experimental results on 40 RERS programs

		_		- 1	\FI			AV/ AE1				Comp-AEI						
Programs	LOCs	#Tr	#U	#R	#K	#UK	#U	#R	#K	#UK	afl-#U	afl-#R	klee	klee-#D	TE	#K	#UK	
m22_Reach	5002	100	0	14	14	86	0	12	12	88	0	9	91	17	0:30:17	26	74	
m24_Reach	23125	100	0	4	4	96	0	6	6	94	0	4	96	6	0:30:23	10	90	
m27_Reach	18645	100	0	2	2	98	6	5	11	89	6	4	90	6	0:30:17	16	84	
m41_Reach	3144	100	0	65	65	35	3	43	46	54	3	66	31	9	0:30:26	78	22	
m45_Reach	14344	100	0	15	15	85	0	8	8	92	0	11	89	10	0:30:42	21	79	
m49_Reach	18680	100	0	17	17	83	0	18	18	82	0	18	82	3	0:30:19	21	79	
m54_Reach	2554	100	0	79	79	21	0	88	88	12	0	82	18	7	0:03.01	100	0	
m55_Reach	19721	100	0	0	0	100	3	1	4	96	3	1	96	0	0:30:16	4	96	
m_76Reach	18620	100	0	14	14	86	3	14	17	83	3	14	83	0	30.13	17	83	
m_95Reach	3500	100	0	9	9	91	8	8	16	84	8	8	84	17	30.41	33	67	
m106_Reach	4197	100	0	1	1	99	0	1	1	99	0	2	98	2	30.12	4	96	
m135_Reach	2989	100	0	2	2	98	4	2	6	94	4	3	93	5	0:25.66	100	0	
m158_Reach	2048	100	0	9	9	91	5	12	17	83	5	7	88	22	0:30:13	34	66	
m159_Reach	2328	100	0	9	9	91	0	9	9	91	0	14	86	15	0:30:12	29	71	
m164_Reach	2482	100	0	31	31	69	6	24	30	70	6	31	63	30	0:30:12	67	33	
m167_Reach	7719	100	0	1	1	99	10	1	11	89	10	1	89	1	0:30:18	12	88	
m172_Reach	6083	100	0	3	3	97	3	6	9	91	3	3	94	4	0:30:12	10	90	
m173_Reach	55859	100	0	3	3	97	1	3	4	96	1	3	96	0	0:30:10	4	96	
m182_Reach	142430	100	0	3	3	97	1	4	5	95	1	3	96	1	0:30:10	5	95	
m183_Reach	1656	100	0	65	65	35	1	64	65	35	1	62	32	5	0:05:09	100	0	
m185 Reach	13215	100	0	0	0	100	3	0	3	97	3	0	97	0	0.30:17	3	97	
m189 Reach	42707	100	0	0	0	100	1	0	1	99	1	0	99	3	0.30.12	4	96	
m190 Reach	192855	100	0	12	12	88	0	11	11	89	0	11	89	3	30.09	14	86	
m196 Reach	10444	100	0	14	14	86	1	16	17	83	1	16	83	0	0.30.05	17	83	
m199 Reach	2358	100	0	28	28	72	1	27	28	72	1	26	73	7	0.30.20	34	66	
problem11-R19	1143	100	0	15	15	85	56	16	72	28	56	16	28	0	0.01.51	100	0	
problem12-R19	2061	100	0	0	0	100	45	0	45	55	45	0	55	0	0.02.43	100	0	
problem13-R19	1877	100	0	14	14	86	49	14	63	37	49	14	37	0	0:03:06	100	0	
problem14-R19	4691	100	0	24	24	76	53	24	77	23	53	24	23	0	0:30:02	77	23	
problem15-R19	13213	100	0	0	0	100	15	0	15	85	15	0	85	0	0.30.17	15	85	
problem17-R19	17342	100	0	39	39	61	34	38	72	28	34	36	30	3	0.30.21	73	27	
problem18-R19	61608	100	0	0	0	100	11	0	11	89	11	0	89		0.30.15	11	89	
roblem-11-R20	1168	100	0	17	17	83	68	17	85	15	68	17	15		0.01.43	100	0	
roblem-12-R20	2298	100	0	0	0	100	49	0	49	51	49	0	51		0.03.32	100	0	
roblem-13-R20	2190	100	0	19	19	81	27	19	46	54	27	19	54	0	0.03.05	100	0	
roblem-14-R20	4183	100	0	4	4	96	46	4	50	50	46	4	50	0	0.28.08	100	0	
roblem-15-R20	26205	100	0	0	0	100	16	0	16	84	16	0	84	0	0.30.19	16	84	
voblem-16-R20	113733	100	0	1	1	00	2	1	3	07	2	1	07		0.30.18	3	07	
roblem-17-R20	18040	100	0	30	30	70	38	30	68	32	38	30	32		0.30.28	68	30	
nomeni-1/-R20	1 TOOAO	1 IUU		1 30	· · · · · · ·	10	1 30						 37 		111 MICZO	- 00		



- The columns #U represents the number of Unreachable targets; #R, indicating the number of reachable targets; #K, denoting the total count of known targets; and #UK, which signifies the total number of unknown targets.
- afl-#U, which represents the count of unreachable targets detected by AFL; afl-#R, indicating the number of reachable targets detected by AFL; klee, which represents the targets provided as input to Klee; Klee-#D, representing the count of targets detected by Klee; TE, indicating the elapsed time of Klee's execution; #K, representing the known targets; and #UK, denoting the unknown targets.



Result Analysis

- Column #U under AFL contains 0 targets, as traditional fuzzers are unable to detect unreachability due to their inability to complete execution.
- The #R column shows the number of targets detected by AFL as unique crashes, and the #K column represents the total count of targets whose status is now known, computed as the sum of #U and #R.
- Similarly, the #U column under AV-AFL represents the number of unreachable targets, calculated using Frama-C, a sound static analysis tool.
- The value of #UR is derived from (**#Tr #Alarmed Tar-gets**). Notably, in **32 out of 40** programs, Frama-C has proven the presence of more than zero unreachable targets.

- The column *afl-#U* within Comp-AFL is derived from the Sound Static Analyser.
- The column *afl-*#*R* for targets under Comp-AFL represents the actual unique crashes identified after removing #*U* targets from the programs during AV-AFL execution.
- The column Klee denotes the search space for Klee's execution, which is calculated using the formula #Tr - afl-#U + afl-#R for targets.
- The column #K represents targets under Comp-AFL and is computed as afl-#U + afl-#R + Klee-#D. The #UK column, indicating unknown targets within Comp-AFL, can be calculated as #Targets #K targets within Comp-AFL.

- Comp-AFL, consistently exhibits a higher number of #K Targets across all tested programs compared to the baseline AFL and AV-AFL.
- Notably, among the 40 tested programs, AV-AFL outperforms AFL in terms of #K Targets in a total of **32 cases** (highlighted in green in Table 1).



This work is sponsored by IBITF, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Bhilai, under the grant of PRAYAS scheme, DST, Government of India.



References

- 2022. Experimental Artifacts: https://figshare.com/s/ 7053da855851c6c6fd81.
- Q RERS 2012. RERS: http://rers-challenge.org/
- RERS 2019. RERS19:Industrial Reachability Problems. http://rers-challenge.org/2019/index.php?page= industrialProblemsReachability
- RERS 2019. RERS19:Sequential Reachability Problems. http://rers-challenge.org/2019/index.php?page= reachProblems
- RERS 2020. RERS20:Sequential Reachability Problems. http://rers-challenge.org/2020/index.php?page= reachProblems





